



**DEPARTMENT OF  
FINANCE**

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

March 5, 2013

Mr. David Wilson, Finance Director  
City of West Hollywood  
8300 Santa Monica Blvd.  
West Hollywood, CA 90069

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Subject: Other Funds and Accounts Due Diligence Review

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.6 (c), the City of West Hollywood successor agency (Agency) submitted an oversight board approved Other Funds and Accounts (OFA) Due Diligence Review (DDR) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 14, 2013. The purpose of the review was to determine the amount of cash and cash equivalents available for distribution to the affected taxing entities. Pursuant to HSC section 34179.6 (d), Finance has completed its review of your DDR, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, Finance made no adjustments to the OFA balance available for allocation to the affected taxing entities. As a result, the Agency's OFA balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities is \$1,243,997.

The OFA DDR indicates \$27.2 million in restricted bond proceeds is for the Plummer Park Master Plan project and not eligible for distribution to the affected taxing entities. While Finance agrees that bond proceeds are not eligible for distribution to the taxing entities, \$25.5 million of the requested Plummer Park Master Plan project was denied in our ROPS III determination letter dated October 15, 2012. Finance's December 18, 2012 Meet and Confer Determination letter further indicated this project as not enforceable.

Although this project continues to be denied, it does not affect the OFA liquid asset balance available for distribution to the affected taxing entities. It is our understanding the bond proceeds requested for use on this project were issued in March 2011. Pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (c), successor agencies are required to defease or repurchase on the open market for cancellation any bonds that were issued after December 31, 2010.

HSC section 34179.6 (f) requires successor agencies to transmit to the county auditor-controller \$1,243,997 within five working days, plus any interest those sums accumulated while in the possession of the recipient.

If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of the successor agency, and if the successor agency is operated by the city or county that created the former redevelopment

agency, then failure to transmit the identified funds may result in offsets to the city's or the county's sales and use tax allocation, as well as its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of another taxing entity, the successor agency is required to take diligent efforts to recover such funds. A failure to recover and remit those funds may result in offsets to the other taxing entity's sales and use tax allocation or to its property tax allocation. If funds identified for transmission are in the possession of a private entity, HSC 34179.6 (h) (1) (B) states that any remittance related to unallowable transfers to a private party may also be subject to a 10 percent penalty if not remitted within 60 days.

Failure to transmit the identified funds will also prevent the Agency from being able to receive a finding of completion from Finance. Without a finding of completion, the Agency will be unable to take advantage of the provisions detailed in HSC section 34191.4. Specifically, these provisions allow certain loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency (RDA) and the city, county, or city and county that created the RDA to be considered enforceable obligations. These provisions also allow certain bond proceeds to be used for the purposes in which they were sold and allows for the transfer of real property and interests into the Community Redevelopment Property Trust Fund once Finance approves the Agency's long-range property management plan.

In addition to the consequences above, willful failure to return assets that were deemed an unallowable transfer or failure to remit the funds identified above could expose certain individuals to criminal penalties under existing law.

Pursuant to HSC section 34167.5 and 34178.8, the California State Controller's Office (Controller) has the authority to claw back assets that were inappropriately transferred to the city, county, or any other public agency. Determinations outlined in this letter do not in any way eliminate the Controller's authority.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. John Leonard, Project Development Administrator, City of West Hollywood  
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller  
California State Controller's Office



**DEPARTMENT OF  
FINANCE**

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

April 15, 2013

Mr. David Wilson, Finance Director  
City of West Hollywood  
8300 Santa Monica Boulevard  
West Hollywood, CA 90069

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of West Hollywood Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on March 1, 2013 for the period of July through December 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 13-14A at this time. The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d). However, Finance notes the oversight board has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the other obligations listed in the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the oversight board to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the oversight board to apply adequate "oversight" when evaluating the administrative resources required to successfully wind-down the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is \$2,419,624 as summarized below:

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount</b>	
<b>For the period of July through December 2013</b>	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	\$ 2,294,624
Minus: Six-month total for items denied or reclassified as administrative cost	
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	\$ 2,294,624
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost	125,000
Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment	-
<b>Total RPTTF approved for distribution:</b>	<b>\$ 2,419,624</b>

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in

the above table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14A Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%2013-14A%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/).

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. John Leonard, Project Development Administrator, City of West Hollywood  
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller  
California State Controller's Office





**DEPARTMENT OF  
FINANCE**

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR

915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

April 26, 2013

Mr. David Wilson, Finance Director  
City of West Hollywood  
8300 Santa Monica Blvd.  
West Hollywood, CA 90069

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Subject: Request for a Finding of Completion

The California Department of Finance (Finance) has completed the Finding of Completion for the City of West Hollywood Successor Agency.

Finance has completed its review of your documentation, which may have included reviewing supporting documentation submitted to substantiate payment or obtaining confirmation from the county auditor-controller. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34179.7, we are pleased to inform you that Finance has verified that the Agency has made full payment of the amounts determined under HSC section 34179.6, subdivisions (d) or (e) and HSC section 34183.5.

This letter serves as notification that a Finding of Completion has been granted. The Agency may now do the following:

- Place loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency and sponsoring entity on the ROPS, as an enforceable obligation, provided the oversight board makes a finding that the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes per HSC section 34191.4 (b) (1). Loan repayments will be governed by criteria in HSC section 34191.4 (a) (2).
- Utilize proceeds derived from bonds issued prior to January 1, 2011 in a manner consistent with the original bond covenants per HSC section 34191.4 (c).

Additionally, the Agency is required to submit a Long-Range Property Management Plan to Finance for review and approval, per HSC section 34191.5 (b), within six months from the date of this letter.

Please direct inquiries to Andrea Scharffer, Staff Finance Budget Analyst, or Chris Hill, Principal Program Budget Analyst, at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. John Leonard, Project Development Administrator, City of West Hollywood  
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller  
California State Controller's Office